



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/598,387

09/23/2008

Alexandre Naressi

33836.00.0100

3439

30498

7590

01/09/2012

Vedder Price PC

222 NORTH LASALLE STREET

CHICAGO, IL 60601

EXAMINER

LANE, GREGORY A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2438

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/09/2012

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/598,387	Applicant(s) NARESSI ET AL.	
	Examiner GREGORY LANE	Art Unit 2438	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/17/2011, 8/25/2006, 6/16/2011</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/22/2010 has been entered.

Art Unit: 2438

Claims 1, 8, and 10 amended

Claim 2 is cancelled

Claims 1, 3-14 pending

Response to Arguments

1.) Applicant's amendment to claims 1, 8, and 10 filed on 9/22/2010 regarding a memory containing a stored periodically changing media content list comprising a mapping table that maps static content identification information with varying media identification information necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Therefore, Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2438

1.) Claims 1, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1862982, Garber in view of US 20040010708, Johnson.

In regards to claim 1, Adams taught an audio and/or video media content providing device comprising:
at least one controller operatively responsive to RFID tag based information obtained from a remote RFID enabled media object, wherein the RFID tag based information includes content identification information stored on an RFID tag located on the remote RFID enabled object, said at least one controller being operative to provide, based on said content identification information, said varying media identification information to facilitate access to media content associated with a stored periodically changing media content list, wherein said varying media identification information identifies a location of where the media content is stored (**see EP 1862982, Garber, para. 0067, 0074, where an RF reader reads information on an RFID tag that permits identifying a location of an object and wherein the item information is compared to a list of items stored in memory on a hand held device remote from the object and wherein, implicitly, the list of items maybe periodically updated**)

Garber did not teach Memory containing a stored periodically changing media content list comprising a mapping table that maps static content identification information with varying media identification information. However, Johnson taught Memory containing a stored periodically changing media content list comprising a mapping table that maps static content identification information with varying media identification information(**see US 20040010708, Johnson, para. 0012, 0014, where a**

Art Unit: 2438

record contains a table that identifies[i.e. statically maps] one or more rights containing fields that map to the dynamic[i.e. varying] rights of media content);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Garber with the teaching of Johnson because a user would have been motivated to use electronic rights management to control authorization of intellectual property rights(**see Johnson, para. 0002**)

In regards to claim 8, Garber taught a digital audio and/or video playing system comprising:

an RFID enabled media object having an RFID tag coupled thereto (**see EP 1862982, Garber, para. 0025, 0026, where the RFID tag contain identification information**); a controller operatively responsive to read RFID tag based information obtained by the digital audio and/or video media playing device and operative to provide, based on said content identification information, said varying media identification information to facilitate access to media content associated with said periodically changing media content list, wherein said varying media identification identifies a location of where the media content is stored, and wherein the digital audio and/or video media playing device is operative to play audio and/or video retrieved based on the periodically changing media content list(**see EP 1862982, Garber, para. 0067, 0074, where an RF reader reads information on an RFID tag that permits identifying a location of an object and wherein the item information is compared to a list of items stored in**

Art Unit: 2438

memory on a hand held device remote from the object and wherein, implicitly, the list of items maybe periodically updated).

Garber did not teach a trusted digital audio and/or video media playing device having a radio frequency identification tag reader operatively coupled therewith to read RFID tag information from the RFID tag, the RFID tag information including content identification information; memory containing a stored periodically changing media content list comprising a mapping table that maps static content identification information with varying media identification information; However, Johnson taught a trusted digital audio and/or video media playing device having a radio frequency identification tag reader operatively coupled therewith to read RFID tag information from the RFID tag, the RFID tag information including content identification information; memory containing a stored periodically changing media content list comprising a mapping table that maps static content identification information with varying media identification information;(see **US 20040010708, Johnson, para. 0012, 0014, where a record contains a table that identifies[i.e. statically maps] one or more rights containing fields that map to the dynamic[i.e. varying] rights of media content**);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Garber with the teaching of Johnson because a user would have been motivated to use electronic rights management to control authorization of intellectual property rights(see **Johnson, para. 0002**)

Art Unit: 2438

In regards to claim 10, Garber taught a method for providing digital audio and/or video media content comprising:

receiving RFID tag based information obtained from a remote RFID enabled media object, the RFID tag information including content identification information; **(see EP 1862982, Garber, para. 0025, 0026, where the RFID tag contain identification information)**; and facilitating access to media content associated with said stored periodically changing media content list, wherein said varying media identification identifies a location of where the media content is stored**(see EP 1862982, Garber, para. 0067, 0074, where an RF reader reads information on an RFID tag that permits identifying a location of an object and wherein the item information is compared to a list of items stored in memory on a hand held device remote from the object and wherein, implicitly, the list of items maybe periodically updated)**;

Garber did not teach providing, based on said content identification information, varying media identification information mapped to said content identification in a mapping table of memory. However, Johnson taught providing, based on said content identification information, varying media identification information mapped to said content identification in a mapping table of memory**(see US 20040010708, Johnson, para. 0012, 0014, where a record contains a table that identifies[i.e. statically maps] one or more rights containing fields that map to the dynamic[i.e. varying] rights of media content)**;

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Garber with the teaching of Johnson

Art Unit: 2438

because a user would have been motivated to use electronic rights management to control authorization of intellectual property rights(**see Johnson, para. 0002**)

2.) Claims 3-5, 7, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1862982, Garber in view of US 20040010708, Johnson and further in view of US 20030001016, Fraier

In regards to claim 3, the combination of Garber and Johnson taught the audio and/or video media content providing device of claim 1. The combination of Garber and Johnson did not teach wherein the controller selects the periodically changing media content list based on a determined geographic location of a media playing unit. However, Fraier taught wherein the controller selects the periodically changing media content list based on a determined geographic location of a media playing unit (**see Fraier, para. 0102, lines 9-18, where the multimedia content access is limited to individuals from a specific geographic location**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content(**see Fraier, para. 0003**)

In regards to claim 4, the combination of Garber and Johnson taught the audio and/or video media content providing device of claim 1. The combination of Garber and

Art Unit: 2438

Johnson did not teach wherein the stored periodically changing media content list includes data representing at least one of: a predetermined number of variable downloadable movies, a predetermined number of variable downloadable songs and a predetermined number of variable downloadable music albums. However, Fraier taught wherein the stored periodically changing media content list includes data representing at least one of: a predetermined number of variable downloadable movies, a predetermined number of variable downloadable songs and a predetermined number of variable downloadable music albums **(see Fraier, para. 0018, where the identification code, associated with a token, identifies a fixed quantity of specific multimedia content[e.g. audio/visual data])**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content**(see Fraier, para. 0003)**

In regards to claim 5, the combination of Garber and Johnson taught the audio and/or video media content providing device of claim 1 wherein the controller includes a user interface operative to allow periodic changing of the media content list. The combination of Garber and Johnson did not teach the audio and/or video media content providing device of claim 1 wherein the controller includes a user interface operative to allow periodic changing of the media content list. However, Fraier taught the audio and/or video media content providing device of claim 1 wherein the controller includes a

Art Unit: 2438

user interface operative to allow periodic changing of the media content list (**see Fraier, para. 0037, where a content provider may consist of set top box for a TV wherein TV content may be modified**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content(**see Fraier, para. 0003**)

In regards to claim 7, the combination of Garber and Johnson taught the audio and/or video media content providing device of claim 1. The combination of Garber and Johnson did not teach wherein the controller is operative to send media identification information represented in the stored periodically changing media content list, for a trusted digital audio and/or video media playing device having a radio frequency identification tag reader operatively coupled therewith to read RFID tag information from the RFID tag and that is operative to play media obtained based on the sent media identification information. However, Fraier taught wherein the controller is operative to send media identification information represented in the stored periodically changing media content list, for a trusted digital audio and/or video media playing device having a radio frequency identification tag reader operatively coupled therewith to read RFID tag information from the RFID tag and that is operative to play media obtained based on the sent media identification information. (**see Fraier, Abstract and para. 0075, where the token sends identification information to a code reader and wherein a play unit, in**

Art Unit: 2438

support of the code reader device, is configurable to play the contents associated with the identification number and wherein an RFID tag is attached to the token)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content(**see Fraier, para. 0003**)

In regards to claim 11, the combination of Garber and Johnson taught the method of claim 10. The combination of Garber and Johnson did not teach including selecting the periodically changing media content list based on a determined geographic location of a media playing unit. However, Fraier taught including selecting the periodically changing media content list based on a determined geographic location of a media playing unit (**see Fraier, para. 0102, lines 9-18, where the multimedia content access is limited to individuals from a specific geographic location**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content(**see Fraier, para. 0003**)

In regards to claim 12, the combination of Garber, Johnson and Fraier taught the method of claim 11 wherein the stored periodically changing media content list includes data representing at least one of: a predetermined number of variable downloadable

Art Unit: 2438

movies, a predetermined number of variable downloadable songs and a predetermined number of variable downloadable music albums(**see Fraier, para. 0018, where the identification code, associated with a token, identifies a fixed quantity of specific multimedia content[e.g. audio/visual data]**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content(**see Fraier, para. 0003**)

In regards to claim 13, the combination of Garber, Johnson and Fraier taught the method of claim 11 comprising periodically changing the media content list in response to user input from a user interface(**see Fraier, para. 0037, where a content provider may consist of set top box for a TV wherein TV content may be modified**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content(**see Fraier, para. 0003**)

In regards to claim 14, the combination of Garber, Johnson and Fraier taught the method of claim 11 comprising sending media identification information represented in the stored periodically changing media content list, for a trusted digital audio and/or video media playing device having a radio frequency identification tag reader

Art Unit: 2438

operatively coupled therewith to read RFID tag information from the RFID tag and that is operative to play media obtained based on the sent media identification information(**see Fraier, Abstract and para. 0075, where the token sends identification information to a code reader and wherein a play unit, in support of the code reader device, is configurable to play the contents associated with the identification number and wherein an RFID tag is attached to the token).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Fraier because a user would have been motivated by the method for accessing multimedia content(**see Fraier, para. 0003**)

3.) Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1862982, Garber in view of US 20040010708, Johnson and further in view of US 20030206107, Goff

In regards to claim 6, the combination of Garber and Johnson taught the audio and/or video media content providing device of claim 1. The combination of Garber and Johnson did not teach wherein the remote RFID enabled media object includes at least one of: an RFID enabled ticket, an RFID enabled card and an RFID enabled 3-D object. However, Goff taught wherein the remote RFID enabled media object includes at least one of: an RFID enabled ticket, an RFID enabled card and an RFID enabled 3-D object (**see Goff, para. 0009, where the RFID tag is used with library material[i.e. 3-D**

Art Unit: 2438

object]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of the combination of Garber and Johnson with the teaching of Goff because a user would have been motivated to use RFID technology in libraries to more efficiently deter unauthorized usage removal of objects(**see Goff, para. 0003**)

4.) Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1862982, Garber in view of US 20040010708, Johnson and further in view of US 20030206107, Goff, and in further view of US 20030001016, Fraier, and further in view of US 20050162277, Teplitxky

In regards to claim 9, the combination of Garber, Johnson, Goff, and Fraier taught the system of claim 8. The combination of Garber, Johnson, Goff, and Fraier did not teach further comprising a media content server that provides encrypted media content identified by the periodically changing media content list for the trusted digital audio and/or video media playing device and wherein the controller provides digital rights management services. However, Teplitxky taught further comprising a media content server that provides encrypted media content identified by the periodically changing media content list for the trusted digital audio and/or video media playing device and wherein the controller provides digital rights management services(**see US 20050162277, Teplitxky, para. 0028, where the RFID data is secured by encrypting**

Art Unit: 2438

the data[e.g. audio/video media]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified-teaching of the combination of Garber, Johnson, Goff, and Fraier with the teaching of Teplitxky because a user would have been motivated by the desire to provide protection against product counterfeiting. **(see Teplitxky, para. 0002)**

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LANE whose telephone number is (571)270-7469. The examiner can normally be reached on 571 270 7469 from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Taghi Arani, can be reached on 571 272 3787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 2438

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/GREGORY LANE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2438

/Taghi T. Arani/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2438